# **THRESHOLD ANALYSIS OF THE NON-LOCAL DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH ONE-RANK PERTURBATION**

**Akhralov Khamidullakhon Ziyatovich, PhD student** *Institute of Mathematics named after V.I.Romanovsky, TSTU. teacher* **Turapova Aziza Usmanovna, assistant** *A senior lecturer in the department of "General and specific sciences" of the TSUE*

#### *Abstract*

*The behaviour of the embedded eigenvalues and resonances is discussed at the lower threshold of the essential spectrum of non-local discrete Schrödinger operators with the Kroneker*  $\delta$  *- potential with the mass*  $\mu \geq 0$ *. This operator is constructed by taking a strictly increasing C function of the standard discrete Laplacian instead of the original one. The dependence of the existence of resonances on this function and the lattice dimension are explicitly derived. We study the limits of eigenvalues as*  $\mu Z$   $\rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu$ ]  $\mu_0$ , where  $\mu_0$  is the value of  $\mu$  which provides there existence of *the threshold resonance.*

#### *Аннотация*

*Обсуждается поведение вложенных собственных значений и резонансов на нижнем пороге существенного спектра нелокальных дискретных операторов Шредингера с потенциалом Кронекера и массой* 0*. Этот оператор строится путем взятия строго возрастающей C-функции стандартного дискретного лапласиана вместо исходной. Зависимость существования резонансов от этой функции и размера решетки выводится в явном виде.*  $M$ сследуем пределы собственных значений как  $\mu Z$  +∞ и  $\mu$ ]  $\mu_{0}$ , где  $\mu_{0}$  *значение , обеспечивающее существование порогового резонанса.*

## *Keywords*

*Essential spectrum, threshold resonance, threshold eigenvalue, regular point. Ключевые слова*

*существенный спектр, пороговый резонанс, пороговое собственное значение, регулярная точка.*

## **Introduction**

In the fields of quantum mechanics, mathematical physics, mathematical analysis and related fields spectral properties of Schrödinger operators, including lattice Schrödinger operators and their solids the applications in physics are significant. The spectral properties of discrete Schrödinger operators with the standard dispersion relation function (i.e., behaves as  $e(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (1 - \cos p_j)$  have been extensively studied in recent years (see e.g. [1-9] and references therein) because of their applications in the theory of ultracold atoms in optical lattices [10,11]. In particular, it is well-known that the existence of the discrete spectrum is strongly connected to the threshold phenomenon [7, 8, 12, 13], which plays an role in the existence of the Efimov effect in threebody systems [14-16]: if any two-body subsystem in a three-body system has no bound state below its essential spectrum and at least two two-body subsystem has a zero-energy resonance, then the corresponding three-body system has infinitely many bound states whose energies accumulate at the lower edge of the three-body essential spectrum.

In the works [17, 18], were considered in the  $d-$  dimensional lattice a family of the discrete Shrödinger operators depending on two parameters with a potential constructed via the delta function. The existence of eigenvalues, threshold eigenvalues and threshold resonances and their dependence on the parameters of the operator and dimension of the lattice was studied.

The fourth order elliptic operators in the space  $R<sup>d</sup>$  in particular, the biharmonic operator, play also a central role in a wide class of physical models such as linear elasticity theory, rigidity problems and in stream function formulation of Stoke's flows (see e.g. [14, 19] and references therein).

A representation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, asymptotic formula of eigenvalues and some spectral properties for the pseudo-differential operator and fractional Schrödinger operators have been considered in [20, 21, 22, 23]. In [27], the authors introduced a class of generalized Schrödinger operators whose kinetic term is given by so called Bernstein functions of the Laplacian.

In this paper, we consider generalized discrete Schrödinger operators (i.e., nonlocal discrete Schrödinger operators) which include discrete bilaplacian operators [17] discrete fractional Schrödinger operators, and others whose counterparts on the continuos  $L^2$ -space are currently much studied [24, 25, 26, 28, 29]. We investigate the existence of eigenvalues as well as threshold resonance and bound states of the nonlocal discrete Schrödinger operator defined by (2).

## **Non-local Schrödinger operator**

Let  $T^d = [-\pi, \pi)^d$  be the d-dimensional torus  $(d = 1, 2,...)$ ,  $L^2(T^d)$  be the Hilbert space of  $L^2$ -functions on  $T^d$  and  $\ell^2(Z^d)$  be the Hilbert space of  $\ell^2$ -functions on the  $d-$  dimensional lattice  $Z^d$ .

Let 
$$
\Delta
$$
 be the standard discrete Laplacian on  $\ell^2(Z^d)$  defined by  
\n
$$
(\Delta \hat{f})(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|s|=1} (\hat{f}(x+s) - \hat{f}(x)), \quad \hat{f} \in \ell^2(Z^d),
$$

and  $\hat{V} = \mu \delta_{x,0}$  be a potential defined by the Kroneker  $\delta$ -function with mass  $\mu(\mu \in \mathbb{R})$  concentrated on the origin  $x = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :

(a) 
$$
\hat{v} = \mu v_{x,0}
$$
 be a potential defined by the Kronecker  $\hat{v}$ -t and  
\n(b) concentrated on the origin  $x = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :  
\n $(\hat{V}f)(x) = \begin{cases} \mu \hat{f}(x), & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad \hat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

Then the discrete Schrödinger operator with the  $\delta$ -potential has the form

$$
\hat{h} = -\Delta - \mu \delta_{x,0}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

In order to define a non-local version of  $\hat{h}$ , we use the Fourier transform<br>  ${}^{2}(Z^{d}) \rightarrow L^{2}(T^{d})$  defined by<br>  $(F\hat{f})(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{d} \hat{f}(x)e^{-i(x,p)}, \quad \hat{f} \in \ell^{2}(Z^{d}), \quad p \in T^{d},$  $F: \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  defined by a non-local version of *n*, we use the T-<br>ined by<br> $\sum_{d} \hat{f}(x)e^{-i(x,p)}, \quad \hat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad p \in \mathbb{T}^d,$ 

$$
Z^{d}) \rightarrow L^{2}(T^{d}) \text{ defined by}
$$
  
\n
$$
(F\hat{f})(p) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \hat{f}(x)e^{-i(x,p)}, \quad \hat{f} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}^{d}), \quad p \in \mathbb{T}^{d},
$$

whose inverse acts from  $L^2(\mathbf{T}^d)$  to  $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z}^d)$  as<br>  $(\mathbf{F}^{-1}f)(x) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int f(t)e^{i(x,t)}dt$ ,  $f \in L^2(\ell)$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{10.1:} \quad & \text{1.2.2:} \quad \text{1.3.3:} \\
\text{10.1:} \quad & \text{1.3:} \quad \text{1.4.3:} \quad \text{1.5:} \\
\text{(A)} \quad & \text{1.5:} \quad \text{1.6:} \\
& \text{1.6:} \quad \text{1.6:} \quad \text{1.7:} \quad \
$$

Hence the discrete Laplacian  $-\Delta$  is transformed into the multiplication operator as  $h_0 = -F \Delta F^{-1}$ :

$$
-F\Delta F^{-1}:
$$
  
\n
$$
(h_0 f)(p) = e(p)f(p), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d),
$$

by the function

$$
e(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \cos p_i), \quad p \in T^d,
$$

and 
$$
\mu \hat{V}
$$
 is transformed into the rank one integral operator  $\mu V = F \hat{V} F^{-1}$ :  
\n
$$
(Vf)(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} f(q) dq, \quad f \in L^2(T^d), \quad \mu \in R.
$$
\n(1)

In this paper, we use a non-local discrete Laplacian  $\Psi(-\Delta)$  defined for a suitable function  $\Psi$  by applying Fourier transform. For a given strictly increasing continuous function  $\Psi \in C(0,\infty)$ , we define the non-local discrete Laplacian  $\Psi(-\Delta)$  by<br> $\hat{h}_0 = \Psi(-\Delta) = F^{-1}\Psi(e(p))F$ .

$$
\hat{h}_0 = \Psi(-\Delta) = F^{-1}\Psi(e(p))F
$$

The momentum representation of the non-local discrete Schrödinger operator acts in the space  $L^2(T^d)$  as

$$
h_{\mu} = h_0 - \mu V, \qquad (2)
$$

where 
$$
h_0
$$
 is a multiplication operator by the function  $\Psi(e(\cdot))$ :  
\n $(h_0 f)(p) = \Psi(e(p)) f(p), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  
\nand V is defined by (1).

## **Essential spectrum**

Since  $h_{\mu}$  is selfadjoint and V is a rank one operator, according to the Weyl's theorem on stability of essential spectrum, the following relation holds nce  $h_{\mu}$  is selfadjoint and V is a rank one operator, acc<br>
1 on stability of essential spectrum, the following relation<br>  $\sigma_{\rm ess}(h_0 - \mu V) = \sigma(h_0)$ , *i.e.*,  $\sigma_{\rm ess}(h_{\mu}) = [\Psi_{\rm min}, \Psi_{\rm max}]$ 

$$
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_0 - \mu V) = \sigma(h_0), \quad i.e., \quad \sigma_{\text{ess}}(h_\mu) = [\Psi_{\text{min}}, \Psi_{\text{max}}]
$$

where  $\Psi_{\min} = \Psi(e(0))$  and  $\Psi_{\max} = \Psi(e(2d))$ .

For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the Fredholm determinant of the operator  $h_{\mu}$  as a function of the variable  $z \in C \setminus [\Psi_{\min}; \Psi_{\max}]$  as follows

$$
\Delta(\mu, z) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\text{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - z}.
$$

*Lemma 1: The number*  $z \in C \setminus [\Psi_{\min}; \Psi_{\max}]$  is an eigenvalue of operator  $h_{\mu}$  if *and only if*

 $\Delta(\mu, z) = 0.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose that number,  $z \in C \setminus [\Psi_{\min}; \Psi_{\max}]$  is eigenvalue of operator  $h_{\mu}$ . Then

$$
h_{\mu}f = zf \tag{3}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\Psi(e(p))f(p) - \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} f(q) dq = zf(p)
$$
 (4)

equation has a non-trivial solution  $f \in L^2(T^d)$ . It is clear to see the equation (3)

has a non-trivial solution, if and only if  

$$
C_f \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(p)) - z} \right) = 0 \tag{5}
$$

has a non-trivial solution  $C_f \in \mathbb{C}$ , where solutions of (4) and (5) are related by the equalities,

$$
C_f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(q) dq
$$

and

$$
f(p) = \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{C_f}{\Psi(e(p)) - z}
$$

The equation (5) has a non-trivial solution if and only if.  
\n
$$
\Delta(\mu, z) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - z} = 0.
$$

*Definition 1: (Threshold eigenvalue and threshold resonance). Let the a measurable* (non-trivial) function  $f$  in  $T^d$  be solution of the equation  $h_{\mu}f = \Psi(e(0))f$ 

a) If  $f \in L^2(T^d)$  we say that the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is a lower threshold eigenvalue of the operator  $h_\mu$ .

b) If  $f \in L^1(T^d) \setminus L^2(T^d)$  we say that the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is a lower threshold resonance of the operator *h* .

c) If  $f \in L^{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^d) \setminus L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$  for any  $\varepsilon(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$  we say that number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is a lower super threshold resonance of operator  $h_\mu$ .

d) If  $h_{\mu} f = \Psi(e(0)) f$  equation has only trivial solution, the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is a regular point of operator *h* .

In order to obtain the main results, we assume that the following condition : **Hypothesis 1.** Let

 $C_1 | x - e(0) |^{\alpha} \leq |\Psi(x) - \Psi(e(0))| \leq C_2 | x - e(0) |^{\alpha}$ for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , where

$$
C_1 = \liminf_{x \to 0+} \frac{\Psi(x) - \Psi(0)}{|x|^{\alpha}}, \quad C_2 = \limsup_{x \to 0-} \frac{\Psi(x) - \Psi(0)}{|x|^{\alpha}},
$$

and  $0 < C_1 < C_2$ .

We enter the following definition:  
\n
$$
\mu_0 = (2\pi)^d \left( \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} \right)^{-1}.
$$
\n(6)

*Lemma 2: The following two statements are true: (a) If*  $\alpha \ge d/2$ *, then*  $\mu_0 = 0$ *. (b) If*  $\alpha < d/2$ *, then*  $0 < \mu_0 < \infty$ *.* 

(b) If 
$$
\alpha < d/2
$$
, then  $0 < \mu_0 < \infty$ .  
\n*Proof.* (a) According to Hypothesis 3 the following relation holds\n
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} < \infty \Leftrightarrow C \int_{U_\gamma(0)} \frac{dq}{(|q|^2)^\alpha} < \infty
$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , where  $U_{\gamma}(0) = \{ p \in T^d : | p - 0| < \gamma \}$  is the  $\gamma(\gamma > 0)$  neighborhood of the origin  $p = 0$ . If we convert the variables in the last integral to a spherical<br>coordinate system it is appropriate to have<br> $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} < \infty \Leftrightarrow C \int_0^{\delta} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{2\alpha}} dr < \infty$ ,

coordinate system it is appropriate to have  
\n
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} < \infty \Leftrightarrow C \int_0^{\delta} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{2\alpha}} dr < \infty,
$$

for some  $C > 0$ . From  $d \leq 2\alpha$  it follows that the last integral is divergent that is  $\mu_0 = 0.$ 

(b) On the other hand, if  $d > 2\alpha$  then the integral  $\int_{\tau_d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))}$ *dq*  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{uq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} < \infty$ convergente, and hence  $\mu_0 > 0$ .

*Lemma 3: The following two statements are true:*

(a) If  $\mu > \mu$ <sub>0</sub> > 0, the operator  $h_\mu$  has a unique (simple) eigenvalue  $z(\mu)$  in the  $interval (-\infty, \Psi(e(0)))$ .

(b) If  $\mu_0 = 0$  i.e.  $2\alpha \ge d$  the operator  $h_\mu$  has a simple eigenvalue  $z(\mu)$  in the  $interval (-\infty, \Psi(e(0)))$  *for any*  $\mu > 0$ *.* 

*Proof.* (a) It follows from the relation

$$
(\cos \Psi(e(0))) \text{ for any } \mu > 0.
$$
  
*oof.* (a) It follows from the relation  

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta(\mu, z) = -\frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dq}{(\Psi(e(q)) - z)^2} < 0, \quad z < \Psi(e(0)),
$$

that the function  $\Delta(\mu, z)$  is strictly decreasing on  $(-\infty; \Psi(e(0)))$ . Then the relations

$$
\lim_{z \to -\infty} \Delta(\mu, z) = 1
$$
 (7)

and

$$
u(z) = 1 \t\t(7)
$$
  

$$
\Delta(\mu, \Psi(e(0))) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathcal{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - \Psi(e(0))} = 1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} < 0
$$

imply that the function  $\Delta(\mu, z)$  has a simple zero  $z = z(\mu)$  in  $(-\infty; \Psi(e(0)))$ .

(b) Since  $\lim_{z \to \Psi(e(0))^-} \Delta(\mu, z) =$  $\mu$ , z  $\rightarrow \Psi(e(0))$ - $\Delta(\mu, z) = -\infty$ 

and (7) the function  $\Delta(\mu, \cdot)$  changes sings in  $(-\infty, \Psi(e(0))$ , end hence the proof of (b) is analogy of the proof of (a).

Define

$$
f_0(p) = \frac{1}{\Psi(e(p)) - \Psi(e(0))}, \quad p \in \mathbb{T}^d
$$
\n(8)

(a) If  $\alpha < d/4$ , then inclusion  $f_0 \in L^2$  $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  holds. There holds the following lemma.

*Lemma 4: The following three statements are true:*

*(b)* If  $d/4 \le \alpha < d/2$ , then  $f_0 \in L^1(T^d)/L^2$  $f_0 \in L^1(\mathrm{T}^d) / L^2(\mathrm{T}^d)$  holds.

(c) If 
$$
\alpha \ge d/2
$$
, then for some  $\varepsilon$  (0 < \varepsilon < 1) the relation  $f_0 \in L^{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is true.

Lemma 4: The following three statements are true:  
\n(b) If 
$$
d/4 \le \alpha < d/2
$$
, then  $f_0 \in L(T^d)/L(T^d)$  holds.  
\n(c) If  $\alpha \ge d/2$ , then for some  $\varepsilon$  (0  $\varepsilon < 1$ ) the relation  $f_0 \in L^{\varepsilon}(T^d)$  is true.  
\nProof. The proof of this lemma we obtain from the validity of following estimates:  
\n(a)  $f_0 \in L^2(T^d)$   $\Leftrightarrow \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{(P(e(q)) - P(e(0)))^2} < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{V_{\gamma}(0)} \frac{dq}{((|q|^2)^{\alpha})^2} < \infty$   
\n $\Leftrightarrow C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{4\alpha}} dr < \infty$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow d > 4\alpha \Leftrightarrow \alpha < d/4$ .  
\n(b)  $f_0 \in L^1(T^d)/L^2(T^d)$   $\Leftrightarrow \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{(P(e(q)) - P(e(0)))} < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{V_{\gamma}(0)} \frac{dq}{(|q|^2)^{\alpha}} < \infty$   
\n $\Leftrightarrow C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{2\alpha}} dr < \infty$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow d > 2\alpha \Leftrightarrow d/4 \le \alpha < d/2$ .  
\n(c)  $f_0 \in L^{\varepsilon}(T^d)$   $\Leftrightarrow \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{(P(e(q)) - P(e(0)))^{\varepsilon}} < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{V_{\gamma}(0)} \frac{dq}{((|q|^2)^{\alpha})^{\varepsilon}} < \infty$   
\n $\Leftrightarrow C \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{r^{d-1}}{r^{2\alpha}} dr < \infty$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow d/2 > \alpha \varepsilon$ 

would be appropriate for any  $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < d/2\alpha$ .

a) If  $\alpha < d/4$  the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is the threshold eigenvalue of the operator  $h_\mu$ 

b) If  $d/4 \le \alpha < d/2$  the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is the threshold resonance of the operator  $h_\mu$ .

c) If  $\alpha \ge d/2$  the number  $\Psi(e(0))$  is the regular point of the operator  $h_\mu$ .

Now we will formulate the main results of this paper.

*Theorem 1: The following three statements are true:*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the equation

 $h_{\mu}g = \Psi(e(0))g$ 

has a non-zero solution *g* when  $\mu = \mu_0$  and the function *g* satisfies

$$
g(p) = \mu f_0(p)
$$

where  $f_0$  is a function defined in (8) It is easy to see that, Definition 3 and Lemma 3 imply the proof.

*Theorem 2: Let*  $\mu_0$  be given by (6) and  $z(\mu)$  be an eigenvalue in Lemma 3. The  $f$ unction  $\mu$ : ( $\mu$ <sub>0</sub>; $\infty$ )  $\rightarrow$  *z*( $\mu$ ) is real-analytic strictly decreasing convex in ( $\mu$ <sub>0</sub>; $+\infty$ ) and *satisfies*

$$
\lim_{\mu \to \mu_0} z(\mu) = \Psi(e(0))
$$

*and*

$$
\lim_{\mu \to +\infty} \frac{z(\mu)}{\mu} = -(2\pi)^d. \tag{9}
$$

*Proof.* The relation

$$
coof. The relation
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta(\mu, z) = -\frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{dq}{(\Psi(e(q)) - z)^2} < 0, \quad z < \Psi(e(0)),
$$

and the Implicit Function Theorem provides the function  $\mu$ : ( $\mu_0$ ; + $\infty$ )  $\rightarrow$  z( $\mu$ ) is real-analytic.

Moreover, computing the derivatives of the implicit function  $z(\mu)$  we find

d the Implicit Function Theorem provides the function 
$$
\mu: (\mu_0; +\infty) \to z(\mu)
$$
  
olytic.  
oreover, computing the derivatives of the implicit function  $z(\mu)$  we find  

$$
z'(\mu) = -\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu)} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}^d} \frac{dq}{(\Psi(e(q)) - e(\mu))^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad \mu \neq 0
$$
(10)

decreasing in  $R \setminus \{0\}$ . Differentiating (10) on more time we get

$$
\mu \frac{1}{r^d} \Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu) \left( \frac{1}{r^d} (\Psi(e(q)) - e(\mu))^2 \right), \mu \to 0 \quad (10)
$$
  
thus using  $\mu(\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu)) > 0$  we get  $z'(\mu) < 0$ , i.e.  $\Psi(e(\cdot))$  is strictly  
decreasing in R \{0\}. Differentiating (10) on more time we get  

$$
z''(\mu) = -\frac{2z'(\mu)}{\mu} \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{(\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu))^3} \cdot \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu)} \cdot \left( \int_{T^d} \frac{dq}{(\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu))^2} \right)^{-2}
$$
  
Therefore  $z''(\mu) > 0$  (i.e.  $z(\cdot)$  is strictly concave) for any  $\mu$ .

Therefore  $z''(\mu) > 0$  (i.e.  $z(\cdot)$  is strictly concave) for any  $\mu$ .

To prove (9) first we let  $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$  in

$$
1 = \frac{\mu}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \frac{dq}{\Psi(e(q)) - z(\mu)}
$$
 (11)

and find  $\lim_{\mu \to \infty} e(\mu) = -\infty$ . In particular, if  $\mu$  is sufficiently large  $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$ 

$$
|\frac{\Psi(e(q))}{z(\mu)}| < \frac{1}{2}
$$

and hence by (11) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$
\left|\frac{1 + \cos(q)/\pi}{z(\mu)}\right| < \frac{1}{2}
$$
\nd hence by (11) and the Dominated Convergence The\n
$$
\lim_{\mu \to +\infty} \frac{z(\mu)}{\mu} = -\lim_{\mu \to +\infty} \int_{\tau^d} \frac{dq}{1 - \frac{\Psi(e(q))}{z(\mu)}} = -\int_{\tau^d} dq = -(2\pi)^d.
$$

# *References*

*[1] S. Albeverio, S Lakaev, K. Makarov, Z. Muminov, "The threshold effects for the two-particle Hamiltonians on lattices, " Commun. Math. Phys. 262, 91–115 (2006).*

*[2] A. Mogilner, "Hamiltonians in solid-state physics as multiparticle discrete Schrödinger operators: problems and results," Adv. in Sov. Math. 5, 139–194 (1991).*

*[3] G. Graf, D. Schenker, "2-magnon scattering in the Heisenberg model," Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, Phys. Theor. 67, 91–107 (1997).*

*[4] D. Damanik, D. Hundertmark, R. Killip, B. Simon, "Variational estimates for discrete Schrödinger operators with potentials of indefinite sign," Comm. Math. Phys. 238, 545–562 (2003).*

*[5] D. Mattis, "The few-body problem on a lattice," Rev. Mod. Phys. 58(2), 361– 379 (1986).*

*[6] D. Damanik, G. Teschl, "Bound states of discrete Schrödinger operators with super-critical inverse square potentials," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 1123–1127 (2007).*

*[7] I. Egorova, E. Kopylova, G. Teschl, "Dispersion estimates for onedimensional discrete Schrödinger and wave equations," J. Spectr. Theory 5, 663–696 (2015).*

*[8] S. Lakaev, A. Khalkhuzhaev, Sh. Lakaev, "Asymptotic behavior of an eigenvalue of the two-particle discrete Schrödinger operator," Theoret. Math. Phys. 171, 800–811 (2012).*

*[9] S. Lakaev, Sh. Kholmatov, "Asymptotics of eigenvalues of two-particle Schrödinger operators on lattices with zero range interaction," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44, (2011).*

*[10] F. Luef, G. Teschl, "On the finiteness of the number of eigenvalues of Jacobi operators below the essential spectrum," J. Difference Equ. Appl. 10, 299–307 (2004).*

*[11] D. Jaksch et al, "Cold bosonic atoms in optical lattices," Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108–3111 (1998).*

*[12] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, A. Ahufinger, "Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices. Simulating Quantum Many-Body Systems," Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2012).*

*[13] S.N.Lakaev and Z.I.Muminov, "The Asymptotics of the Number of Eigenvalues of a Three-Particle Lattice Schrödinger Operator," Funktsionalnyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, 37(3), 80–84, (2003).*

*[14] K. Winkler et al., "Repulsively bound atom pairs in an optical lattice," Nature 441, 853-856 (2006).*

*[15] M. Klaus, B. Simon, "Coupling constant thresholds in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. I. Short-range two-body case," Ann. Phys. 130, 251–281 (1980).*

*[16] S. Lakaev, Sh. Kholmatov, "Asymptotics of the eigenvalues of a discrete Schrödinger operator with zero-range potential," Izvestiya: Mathematics 76, 946–966 (2012).*

*[17] S. Albeverio, S. Lakaev, Z. Muminov, "Schrödinger operators on lattices. The Efimov effect and discrete spectrum asymptotics," Ann. Inst. H.*